

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,186	10/09/2001	Gregory Jantsch	13543-003001 4489	
26161 7	590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			KIM, AHSHIK	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2876	<u>- </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,186	JANTSCH, GREGORY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahshik Kim	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/19 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	. 🗖		
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)		

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application is filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required in response to this Office Action or when the application is allowed

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 15, line 1: substitute "claim 6" with --claim 8--.

Claim 6 which depends on claim 1 recites a method claim, and claim 8 is an apparatus claim. It appears that claim 15 should have depended on claim 8.

Appropriate correction/clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-31, and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graef et al. (US 4,664,369, hereinafter "Graef", cited by Applicant).

5

10

15

20

Re claims 1-4, 8-12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 31, and 33-38, Graef teaches a thickness indicator apparatus used in Automated Teller Machine (ATM) (see abstract; col. 2, lines 27+) for detecting double bills when the bills are retrieved from the stack (col. 1, lines 34+; col. 3, lines 58+; col. 6, lines 4+). The thickness detector is comprised of two elongated fingers 50 (or free ends) attached to a wishbone 45 (col. 4, lines 16+; see figure 3). When bills move between the plate 42 and the elongated fingers 50, the elongated fingers are displaced/pushed by the thickness of the bill (col. 4, line 51 – col. 5, line 37). Re claim 5, the bill moving path further comprised of a roller 12 and a counter rotating roller 36 (col. 3, lines 36+; col. 3, lines 60+).

Re claim 6, the thickness of the bill causes wishbone 45 to rotate about the pin 58 in counter clockwise direction (col. 5, lines 9+). Accordingly, the pin is considered a rotational axis.

Re claims 7, 13, 15, and 16 as bills pass between the plate 40 and elongated finger, the target 52 rotates toward the proximity sensor 66 (col. 4, lines 39+), and the sensor generates a voltage signal proportional to the distance between the sensor 66 and the target 52 in inductive manner.

Re claim 14, as shown in figure 1, the elongated finger 50 is connected to a spring-loaded locking means 64 (col. 4, lines 28-38).

Re claims 19 and 26-29, as shown in figure 3, the apparatus is comprised of a housing/frame 15 which houses a bill thickness detecting component (see figure 3; col. 3, lines 36+).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 22, 25, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Graef et al. (US 4,664,369).

Although Graef does not explicitly suggests the details of the housing as they are recited in the above-mentioned claims (snap-in bearings and grounding elements comprising braided wire and metal lugs), it is the Examiner's view that Graef shows a box-type of housing which is substantially the same housing Applicant claims. Perhaps the component parts for building such housing may be different, but it is the Examiner's view that more substantial elements — thickness (or double bills) detection utilizing elongated fingers are disclosed by Graef. The differences in constructing housing may not patentable unless Applicant particularly points out patentablities of the housing.

20 Conclusion

Art Unit: 2876

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Graef et al. (US 4,494,747); Swartzendruber (US 4,159,782) discloses a currency handling apparatus. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 October 12, 2004

25

5

10

15

20